arXiv:1602.04448v1 [math.AG] 14 Feb 2016

Pfaffian formula for K-theory of odd orthogonal Grassmannians

Thomas Hudson, Takeshi Ikeda, Tomoo Matsumura, Hiroshi Naruse

Abstract

We prove a Pfaffain formula for the K-theory class of the degeneracy loci in the bundle of odd maximal orthogonal Grassmannians.

1 Introduction

This paper is a sequel to our recent study [6] on the K-theoretic degeneracy loci formulas for the vector bundles. In [6], we proved a determinant formula for Grassmann bundles, and a Pfaffian formula for isotropic Grassmann bundles associated to a symplectic vector bundle. Both formulas live in the K-ring of algebraic vector bundles. In this paper, we deal with a vector bundle of odd rank equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.

Our study is modeled on Kazarian's work [8] for the Lagrangian and orthogonal degeneracy loci in cohomology. We succeeded in [6] to extend Kazarian's approach to K-theory for both ordinary and symplectic vector bundles. The main technical issue in the orthogonal case is that one has to deal with schemes that are not reduced and in particular this means that it is necessary to find a way to recover the fundamental class of the associated reduced scheme. In cohomology, this is achieved by dividing by 2, so the resulting cohomology classes of Lagrangian and maximal orthogonal degeneracy loci differ only by a multiple of a power of 2. This reflects the difference between the root systems of type B and C. We reduce this issue related to the multiplicity to the case of the quadric bundle and deal with it in the appendix.

We can also see this subtlety in the fact that the structure constants for the natural basis formed by the structure sheaves of the Schubert varieties are quite different for Lagrangian and the maximal orthogonal Grassmannian. Such structure constants are known explicitly for the maximal orthogonal Grassmannian by the work of Pechenik and Yong [10], while for the Lagrangian case no conjecture has been proposed.

In the Lagrangian case, the special classes given by the degeneracy loci with only one Schubert condition coincide with the Segre classes of tautological vector bundles. The general degeneracy loci classes are given by a Pfaffian with entries being a quadratic expression in terms of those Segre classes. On the other hand, in the orthogonal case, the special class is given as a series in terms of the Segre classes of the tautological bundles (see Lemma 3.5). We introduce classes $\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)}$ that can be considered as a deformation of the special ones (see Definition 3.4). Our main theorem (Theorem 3.7) describes an arbitrary degeneracy loci class as a Pfaffian with entries being a quadratic expression in terms of those classes $\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)}$. If we specialize it to the K-theory

of orthogonal Grassmannian, it is a Pfaffian formula of Schubert classes given in terms of honest special classes. This is in the spirit of Giambelli [4].

Our formula for the orthogonal degeneracy loci does not change the form when we consider an orthogonal Grassmann bundle for higher rank orthogonal vector bundle. Thus we can think of the degeneracy loci class in infinite rank setting. Such universal class should be described by the *GP*-functions defined by the second and the forth authors in [7]. The result of this paper implies that those *GP*-functions should be expressed as a Pfaffian. Further combinatorial implications of our result will be discussed elsewhere.

We expect that our formula can be generalized to the degeneracy loci classes associated to the *vexillary signed permutations* due to Anderson-Fulton. In fact, in [1] they obtained a Pfaffian formula in cohomology, which we plan to achieve at the level of K-theory, using our method.

2 Basics on connective K-theory

Connective K-theory, denoted by CK^*, is an example of oriented cohomology theory built out of the algebraic cobordism introduced by Levine and Morel [9]. It is a contravariant functor, together with pushforwards for projective morphisms, satisfying some axioms. We refer to [3, 5, 9] for the detailed construction. In this section, we recall some preliminary facts on CK^*, especially regarding Chern classes.

Let X be a smooth quasiprojective variety over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. The connective K-theory of X interpolates between the Grothendieck ring $K(X)$ of algebraic vector bundles on X and the Chow ring $CH^*(X)$ of X. Connective K-theory assigns to X a commutative graded algebra $CK^*(X)$ over the coefficient ring $CK^*(\mathrm{pt})$ which is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ by setting β to be the class of degree -1 obtained by pushing forward the fundamental class along the structural morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathrm{pt}$. The $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$-algebra $CK^*(X)$ specializes to the Chow ring $CH^*(X)$ and the Grothendieck ring $K(X)$ by setting β equal to 0 and -1 respectively. For any closed equidimensional subvariety Y of X, there exists an associated fundamental class $[Y]_{CK^*}$ in $CK^*(X)$. In particular, $[Y]_{CK^*}$ is specialized to the class $[Y]$ in $CH^*(X)$ and also to the class of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y$ of Y in $K(X)$. In the rest of the paper, we denote the fundamental class of Y in $CK^*(X)$ by $[Y]$ instead of $[Y]_{CK^*}$.

As an oriented cohomology theory, connective K-theory admits A theory of Chern classes. For line bundles L_1 and L_2 over X, one has first Chern classes $c_1(L_i) \in CK^1(X)$ which satisfy

$$c_1(L_1 \otimes L_2) = c_1(L_1) + c_1(L_2) + \beta c_1(L_1)c_1(L_2). \tag{2.1}$$

This fundamental law characterizes the theory. Note that the operation

$$(u, v) \mapsto u \oplus v := u + v + \beta uv$$

is an example of commutative one-dimensional formal group law, which is an essential feature of oriented cohomology theories. We should stress here that the sign convention of β is opposite from the one used in [3, 5, 9].

On the other hand, it follows from (2.1) that $c_1(L^\vee) = -c_1(L)/(1+\beta c_1(L))$. Therefore it is convenient to introduce the notation for the *formal inverse*:

$$\bar{u} := \frac{-u}{1+\beta u}.$$

The main ingredient in our computation is the K-theoretic Segre class of vector bundles. Let E be a vector bundle over X of rank e. For convenience, we use the following notation to denote the Chern polynomial:

$$c(E;u) := \sum_{i=0}^{e} c_i(E)u^i.$$

Let F be another vector bundle over X. In [6], we defined the relative Segre class $\mathscr{S}_m(E-F)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by using the following generating function:

$$\mathscr{S}(E-F;u) := \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{S}_m(E-F)u^m = \frac{1}{1+\beta u^{-1}} \frac{c(E-F;\beta)}{c(E-F;-u)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $c(E-F;u) := c(E;u)/c(F;u)$ defines the usual relative Chern classes. It was shown in [6] that $\mathscr{S}_m(E-F)$ can be also obtained as the pushforward of the product of certain Chern classes as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}^*(E) \to X$ be the dual projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{Q}$ its tautological quotient line bundle. For each integer $s \geq 0$, we have*

$$\pi_*\left(c_1(\mathcal{Q})^s c_f(\mathcal{Q}\otimes F^\vee)\right) = \mathscr{S}_{s+f-e+1}(E-F), \tag{2.3}$$

where f is the rank of F.

3 Maximal Orthogonal Grassmannians of type B

In this section, we first define the degeneracy loci in the odd orthogonal Grassmann bundle. In order to compute its associated class, we construct a resolution of singularities. With the help of Lemma 4.1 on the quadric bundle (proved in the appendix), we express the degeneracy loci class as a pushforward of a product of top Chern classes. With the help of the calculus of formal Laurent series developed in [6], we finally obtain the Pfaffian formula (Theorem 3.7).

3.1 Degeneracy loci

Let X be a smooth quasiprojective variety. Consider the vector bundle E of rank $2n+1$ over X with a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : E\otimes E \to \mathcal{O}$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is the trivial line bundle. Let $\xi : \mathrm{OG}(E) \to X$ be the Grassmann bundle parametrizing rank n isotropic subbundles of E, equipped with the tautological bundle U. A point of $\mathrm{OG}(E)$ is a pair (x, U_x) of a point $x \in X$ and an isotropic n-dimensional subspace of the fiber E_x of E at x. Fix a flag of isotropic subbundles of E

$$F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^2 \subset F^1,$$

where rk $F^i = n - i + 1$. Let $F^{-i+1} := (F^i)^\perp$. Note that the bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on E induces an isomophism $F^\perp/F \otimes F^\perp/F \cong \mathcal{O}$ for any maximal isotropic subbundle F of E. This implies that $c_1(F^\perp/F) = 0$ in $CK^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

A strict partition of at most n parts is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_i > 0$ implies $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots n-1$. Let $\mathcal{SP}(n)$ be the set of such strict partitions λ such that $\lambda_1 \leq n$. The length of λ is the number of nonzero parts. For each partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}(n)$ of length r, the corresponding degeneracy loci X_λ in $\mathrm{OG}(E)$ is defined by

$$X_\lambda = \{(x, U_x) \in \mathrm{OG}(E) \mid \dim(U_x \cap F_x^{\lambda_i}) \geq i, i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

3.2 Resolution of singularities

Let $\pi : \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda) \to \mathrm{OG}(E)$ be the flag bundle associated to $F_\bullet^\lambda : F^{\lambda_1} \subset \cdots \subset F^{\lambda_r}$ with the tautological flag $D_1 \subset \cdots \subset D_r$ with rk $D_i = i$. That is, for each point $p := (x, U_x) \in \mathrm{OG}(E)$, its fiber along π consists of the partial flag $(D_1)_p \subset \cdots \subset (D_r)_p$ of E_x such that $\dim(D_i)_p = i$ and $(D_i)_p \subset F_p^{\lambda_i}$. We can construct the associated flag bundle $\pi : \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda) \to \mathrm{OG}(E)$ as a tower of projective bundles

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Fl}(F_\lambda^\bullet) = \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_r}/D_{r-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_r} \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_{r-1}}/D_{r-2}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{r-1}} \cdots \\ \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_3} \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_2}/D_1) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathrm{OG}(E). \qquad (3.1)\end{aligned}$$

We regard D_i/D_{i-1} as the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_i}/D_{i-1})$ and denote by τ_i the first Chern class $c_1((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee)$ in $CK^*(\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_i}/D_{i-1}))$.

Define the sequence of subvarieties $Y_r \subset \cdots \subset Y_1 \subset \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)$ by

$$Y_i = \{(p, (D_\bullet)_p) \in \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda) \mid p = (x, U_x),\ (D_i)_p \subset U_x\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Y_i is smooth and Y_r is birational to X_λ through π. Furthermore, $\pi_*[Y_r] = [X_\lambda]$.*

Proof. To prove that Y_i is smooth, it suffices to prove it for $i = r$. Since F^i's are vector bundles over X, we have the flag bundle $\mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)' \to X$ associated to the partial flag $F_\bullet^\lambda$ defined as above. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda) = \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)' \times \mathrm{OG}(E)$. Let $\xi_1 : \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda) \to \mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)'$ be the projection to its first factor. Then Y_r surjects to $\mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)'$ and each fiber is $\mathrm{OG}((D_r)_p^\perp/(D_r)_p)$. Thus we can see that Y_r is a fiber bundle over $\mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda)'$ with the fiber being identified with the maximal orthogonal Grassmannian $\mathrm{OG}(\mathbb{C}^{2(n-r)+1})$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2(n-r)+1}$. Thus it is smooth. The birationality is clear. The last claim follows from the fact that X_λ has at worst rational singularities (*cf.* [6, Lemma 4]). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\kappa := c_1(U^\perp/U)$. In $CK^*(\mathrm{Fl}(F_\bullet^\lambda))$, we have*

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^r (2 + \beta(\tau_i \oplus \kappa))\right)[Y_r] = \prod_{i=1}^r c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee \otimes D_{i-1}^\perp/U^\perp).$$

Proof. Consider the vector bundle $D_{i-1}^\perp/D_{i-1}$ over Y_{i-1} with the induced bilinear form. Let $Q(D_{i-1}^\perp/D_{i-1})$ be the corresponding quadric bundle over Y_{i-1} (see Section 4). Let S_i be the

tautological line bundle of $Q(D_{i-1}^{\perp}/D_{i-1})$ and consider the maximal isotropic subbundle U/D_{i-1} of $D_{i-1}^{\perp}/D_{i-1}$. We apply Lemma 4.1 and obtain the following identity in $CK^*(Q(D_{i-1}^{\perp}/D_{i-1}))$:

$$(2+\beta c_1(S_i^{\vee}\otimes U^{\perp}/U))[\mathbb{P}(U/D_{i-1})] = c_{n-i+1}(S_i^{\vee}\otimes D_{i-1}^{\perp}/U^{\perp}). \tag{3.2}$$

The line bundle $D_i/D_{i-1}\to Y_{i-1}$ defines a section $a_i : Y_{i-1}\to Q(D_{i-1}^{\perp}/D_{i-1})$ by sending a point $y\in Y_{i-1}$ to its fiber of D_i/D_{i-1} which is isotropic since $D_i\subset F^{\lambda_i}$. The pullback of S_i along a_i coincides with D_i/D_{i-1}. Furthermore $a_i^*[\mathbb{P}(U/D_{i-1})] = [Y_i]$. Thus by pulling back (3.2) along a_i, we obtain the following identity in $CK^*(Y_{i-1})$:

$$(2+\beta(\tau_i\oplus\kappa))[Y_i] \quad = \quad c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^{\vee}\otimes D_{i-1}^{\perp}/U^{\perp}).$$

The claim follows by the projection formula applied to the inclusions $Y_{i-1}\hookrightarrow Y_i$. □

The next corollary is an obvious consequence of Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $\kappa = c_1(U^{\perp}/U) = 0$ in $CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

Corollary 3.3. *In $CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, we have*

$$[X_\lambda] = \pi_*\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^{\vee}\otimes D_{i-1}^{\perp}/U^{\perp})}{2+\beta\tau_i}\right).$$

In the rest of the paper, we work in $CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

3.3 Special Schubert classes

Let us now introduce the classes $\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)}$, which will be used in the main theorem to describe the fundamental class of a general degeneracy loci.

Definition 3.4. For each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell = 1,\dots,n$, we define the classes $\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)}$ by the following generating function

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)}u^m = \frac{1}{2+\beta u^{-1}}\mathscr{S}((U^{\perp}-E/F^{\ell})^{\vee};u).$$

Or equivalently, we define

$$\mathscr{P}_m^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s\geq 0}\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^s\mathscr{S}_{s+m}((U^{\perp}-E/F^{\ell})^{\vee}). \tag{3.3}$$

For each integer $k = 1,\dots,n$, let $\lambda = (k)\in\mathcal{SP}(n)$ be the strict partition with only one part. The corresponding degeneracy loci is denoted by X_k and its associated class $[X_k]$ is called a *special class*. The next lemma shows that we can view $\mathscr{P}_k^{(\ell)}$ as a deformation of the special class $[X_k]$.

Lemma 3.5. *We have $[X_k] = \mathscr{P}_k^{(k)}$.*

Proof. As in Section 3.1, we consider $\pi : \mathbb{P}(F^k) \to \mathrm{OG}(E)$ and $Y_1 \subset \mathbb{P}(F^k)$ which is the loci where D_1 is contained in U. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(2 + \beta(\tau_1 \oplus \kappa)[Y_1] = c_n(D_1^\vee \otimes E/U^\perp).$$

As in Corollary 3.3, we get

$$[X_k] = \pi_* \left(\frac{c_n(D_1^\vee \otimes E/U^\perp)}{2 + \beta\tau_1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \geq 0} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^s \pi_* \left(\tau_1^s c_n(D_1^\vee \otimes E/U^\perp) \right)$$

in $CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. Now the claim follows from Lemma 2.1. □

3.4 Computing $[X_\lambda]$

First, we recall notations from [6] for the ring of certain formal Laurent series, necessary for the computation of the class $[X_\lambda]$. Let $R = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} R_m$ be a commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ be indeterminates with $\deg(t_i) = 1$. For $\mathsf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we denote $t^{\mathsf{s}} = t_1^{s_1} \cdots t_r^{s_r}$. A formal Laurent series of degree m in the variables $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ with coefficients in R is given by

$$f(t) = \sum_{\mathsf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^r} a_{\mathsf{s}} t^{\mathsf{s}},$$

where $a_{\mathsf{s}} \in R_{m-|\mathsf{s}|}$ for all $\mathsf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ with $|\mathsf{s}| = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$. Its support $\mathrm{supp} f$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{supp} f = \{\mathsf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid a_{\mathsf{s}} \neq 0\}.$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathscr{L}_m^R$ denote the set of all formal Laurent series $f(t)$ of degree m such that there exists $\mathsf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\mathsf{m} + \mathrm{supp} f$ is contained in the cone $C \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$ defined by $s_1 \geq 0,\ s_1 + s_2 \geq 0,\ \cdots,\ s_1 + \cdots + s_r \geq 0$. The direct sum $\mathscr{L}^R := \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{L}_m^R$ is a graded ring in an obvious manner. For each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let $\mathscr{L}^{R,i}$ denote the subring of $\mathscr{L}^R$ consisting of series that do not contain negative powers of $t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}$. In particular we have $\mathscr{L}^{R,1} = \mathscr{L}^R$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $R[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]_m$ denote the set of formal power series in $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ of homogeneous degree m. We define the ring $R[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]_{\mathrm{gr}}$ of graded formal power series to be $\oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} R[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]_m$. Note that $\mathscr{L}^{R,i}$ is a graded $R[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]_{\mathrm{gr}}$-module.

Let us apply the above notation to $R = CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E))$. We define an $R[[t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}]]_{\mathrm{gr}}$-module structure on $CK^*(\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_{i-1}}/D_{i-2}))$ by

$$f(t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1})\alpha := f(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{i-1})\alpha,$$

for each $f(t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}) \in R[[t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}]]_{\mathrm{gr}}$ and $\alpha \in CK^*(\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_{i-1}}/D_{i-2}))$ where $\tau_i = c_1((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee)$ as before. We can uniquely define a homomorphism $\phi_i : \mathscr{L}^{R,i} \to CK^*(\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_{i-1}}/D_{i-2}))$ of graded $R[[t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}]]_{\mathrm{gr}}$-modules by setting

$$t_i^{s_i} \cdots t_r^{s_r} \mapsto \mathscr{S}_{s_i}((U^\perp - E/F^{\lambda_i})^\vee) \cdots \mathscr{S}_{s_r}((U^\perp - E/F^{\lambda_r})^\vee),$$

for each $s_i, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \geq i$, we have

$$\phi_i \left(\frac{t_j^m}{2 + \beta t_j} \right) = \mathscr{P}_m^{(\lambda_j)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}(n)$ of length r. In $CK^*(\mathrm{OG}(E)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, we have*

$$[X_\lambda] \quad = \quad \phi_1 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}}{2+\beta t_i} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} \left(\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1+\bar{t}_i/t_j} \right) \right),$$

where $\bar{t} = \frac{-t}{1+\beta t}$ is the notation for the formal inverse as before.

Proof. As in [6, Proposition 1], the definition (2.2) and the property (2.3) of the relative Segre classes imply that, for $\pi_i : \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_i}/D_{i-1}) \to \mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_{i-1}}/D_{i-2})$, we have

$$\pi_{i*}(\tau_i^s c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee \otimes D_{i-1}^\perp/U^\perp)) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_p(D_{i-1}-D_{i-1}^\vee) \sum_{q=0}^{p} \binom{p}{q} \beta^q \mathscr{S}_{\lambda_i+s-p+q}((U^\perp - E/F^{\lambda_i})^\vee)$$

for each integer $s \ge 0$. Therefore by the same computation used in the proof of [6, Proposition 3], we obtain

$$\pi_{i*} \left(\frac{c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee \otimes D_{i-1}^\perp/U^\perp)}{2+\beta\tau_i} \right) = \phi_i \left(\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}}{2+\beta t_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1-\bar{t}_j/\bar{t}_i}{1-t_j/\bar{t}_i} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Now the claim follows by repeatedly applying (3.5) to Corollary 3.3. □

3.5 Main theorem

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}(n)$ of length r. Let $2m$ be the smallest even integer such that $r \le 2m$. If $2m > r$, then we set $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$. For each i, j such that $1 \le i < j \le 2m$, we expand the following rational function in $\mathcal{L}^R$:

$$(1+\beta\bar{t}_i)^{2m-i-1} (1+\beta\bar{t}_j)^{2m-j} \frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1-t_i/\bar{t}_j} = \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{Z} \\ a\ge 0, a+b\ge 0}} \gamma_{a,b}^{ij} t_i^a t_j^b. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that $\gamma_{ab}^{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$. Note that if $j = 2m$, $\gamma_{ab}^{ij} = 0$ for all $b > 0$. Let $\mathscr{P}_m^{(0)} := (-\beta)^{-m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$. If A is a skewsymmetric $2m \times 2m$ matrix, we denote the Pfaffian of A by $\mathrm{Pf}(A)$. We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.7. *The fundamental class of the degeneracy loci X_λ is given by*

$$[X_\lambda] = \mathrm{Pf} \left(\sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{Z} \\ a\ge 0, a+b\ge 0}} \gamma_{ab}^{ij} \mathscr{P}_{\lambda_i+a}^{(\lambda_i)} \mathscr{P}_{\lambda_j+b}^{(\lambda_j)} \right)_{1\le i<j\le 2m}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. If r is even, the equality follows from the identity of Schur-Pfaffian

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}}{2+\beta t_i} \prod_{1\le i<j\le 2m} \frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1+\bar{t}_i/t_j} \\ &= \mathrm{Pf} \left(\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i} t_j^{\lambda_j} (1+\beta\bar{t}_i)^{2m-i-1} (1+\beta\bar{t}_j)^{2m-j}}{(2+\beta t_i)(2+\beta t_j)} \frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1-t_i/\bar{t}_j} \right)_{1\le i<j\le 2m}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which is similar to [6, Lemma 14]. Indeed, we can write

$$[X_\lambda] = \mathrm{Pf}\left(\phi_1\left(\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}t_j^{\lambda_j}\,(1+\beta\bar{t}_i)^{2m-i-1}\,(1+\beta\bar{t}_j)^{2m-j}}{(2+\beta t_i)(2+\beta t_j)}\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1-t_i/\bar{t}_j}\right)_{1\le i<j\le 2m}\right).$$

Now (3.4) implies the claim.

To see the case when r is odd, we add one more stage to the projective tower, namely $\pi_{r+1}:\mathbb{P}(F^0/D_r)\to\mathbb{P}(F^{\lambda_r}/D_{r-1})$. If we applying (2.3), by a direct computation, we obtain (*cf.* [6, Section 5.3])

$$(\pi_{r+1})_*(c_{n-r}((D_{r+1}/D_r)^\vee\otimes D_r^\perp/U^\perp))=1,$$

where D_{r+1}/D_r is the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(F^0/D_r)$. Therefore

$$[X_\lambda]=\pi_*\circ(\pi_{r+1})_*\left(c_{n-r}((D_{r+1}/D_r)^\vee\otimes D_r^\perp/U^\perp)\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{c_{n-i+1}((D_i/D_{i-1})^\vee\otimes D_{i-1}^\perp/U^\perp)}{2+\beta\tau_i}\right).$$

Thus by noting that

$$(\pi_{r+1})_*(c_{n-r}((D_{r+1}/D_r)^\vee\otimes D_r^\perp/U^\perp))=\phi_{r+1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_{r+1}}{1+\bar{t}_i/t_{r+1}}\right)\right) \tag{3.9}$$

we have

$$[X_\lambda]=\phi_1\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}}{2+\beta t_i}\prod_{1\le i<j\le r+1}\left(\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1+\bar{t}_i/t_j}\right)\right).$$

Therefore the claim follows from the next identity similar to (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}}{2+\beta t_i}\prod_{1\le i<j\le r+1}\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1+\bar{t}_i/t_j}\\&=\mathrm{Pf}\left(\frac{t_i^{\lambda_i}t_j^{\lambda_j}\,(1+\beta\bar{t}_i)^{2m-i-1}\,(1+\beta\bar{t}_j)^{2m-j}}{[2]_i[2]_j}\frac{1-\bar{t}_i/\bar{t}_j}{1-t_i/\bar{t}_j}\right)_{1\le i<j\le 2m},\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $[2]_i=\begin{cases}2+\beta t_i & i=1,\dots,r\\ 1 & i=r+1\end{cases}$.

□

4 Appendix: K-theory of odd quadric bundles $Q(E)$

In this section, we show Identity (4.1) in the K-theory of the odd quadric bundle, which we used in the main body of this paper. As an application, we also exhibit a presentation of the K-theory of the quadric bundle.

Let E be a vector bundle of rank $2n+1$ over a smooth quasiprojective variety X with a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form with values in a line bundle L. Let S be the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(E)$. The quadric bundle $Q(E) \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$ is given by

$$Q(E) = \{(\ell, x) \in \mathbb{P}(E) |\ \ell \in \mathbb{P}(E_x), \ell \text{ is isotropic } \}.$$

Let U be a maximal isotropic subbundle of E. We have $U^\perp/U \otimes U^\perp/U = L$. Consider the following diagram of obvious inclusions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota'} & & \uparrow \\ Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(U^\perp) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & \nearrow & \\ \mathbb{P}(U) & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1. *In $CK^*(Q(E))$, we have*

$$(2 + \beta c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U))[\mathbb{P}(U)] = c_n(S^\vee \otimes E/U^\perp). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We show the identity by computing the class $[Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)]$ in $CK^*(Q(E))$ in two different ways. $\mathbb{P}(U)$ is a divisor in $\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)$ and the corresponding line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)$ is $S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U$. The class $[\mathbb{P}(U)]$ in $CK^*(\mathbb{P}(U^\perp))$ is equal to $c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U)$. The scheme theoretic intersection $Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)$ is not reduced and defines the Weil divisor $2\mathbb{P}(U)$ on $\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)$, which is obviously a strict normal crossing. Thus, following [9, Section 7.2.1], we can compute the fundamental class $1_{Q(E)\cap\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)}$ in $CK^*(Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp))$ as

$$1_{Q(E)\cap\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)} = \iota_*(2 + \beta c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U)). \tag{4.2}$$

In $CK^*(Q(E))$, the fundamental class $[Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)]$ is defined as the pushforward $\iota'_* 1_{Q(E)\cap\mathbb{P}(U^\perp)}$. Since the class $2 + \beta c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U)$ pulls back from $Q(E)$, the projection formula applied to $\iota' \circ \iota$ implies

$$[Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)] \quad = \quad (2 + \beta c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U)) \cdot [\mathbb{P}(U)].$$

On the other hand, the scheme $Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)$ is the locus where the obvious bundle map $S \to E/U^\perp$ has rank zero, and its codimension in $Q(E)$ is n. Thus, by [9, Lemma 6.6.7], we have

$$[Q(E) \cap \mathbb{P}(U^\perp)] = c_n(S^\vee \otimes E/U^\perp)$$

in $CK^*(Q(E))$. □

Remark 4.2. It is easy to generalize this to the algebraic cobordism of $Q(E)$. Indeed, $2 + \beta c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U)$ in the above formula is nothing but $F_1^{(2)}(c_1(S^\vee \otimes U^\perp/U))$ in the notation of [9, Section 3.1.2].

Theorem 4.3 (*cf.* [2])**.** *We have*

$$CK^*(Q(E)) \cong CK^*(X)[h,f]/I,$$

where the ideal I is generated by the relations (4.1) and

$$f^2 = c_n(S^\vee \otimes E/U - S^\vee \otimes S^\vee \otimes L))f. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. It is known that $CK^*(Q(E))$ is a free module over $CK^*(X)$ with basis

$$1, h, \ldots, h^{n-1}, \quad f, hf, \ldots, fh^{n-1},$$

where $f = [\mathbb{P}(U)]$ and $h = c_1(S^\vee)$. For example, it follows from the standard fact that $Q(E)$ admits a cell decomposition of $Q(E)$, combined with the cellular decomposition property [9, Section 5.1.2]. The relation (4.3) is identical to the one in [1, Appendix (A.4)] for cohomology case and it also holds in $CK^*(Q(E))$. Indeed, the self-intersection formula $f^2 = \iota'_*\iota_* c_n(N_{\mathbb{P}(U)}Q(E))$ holds also in connective K-theory ([9]) and the normal bundle $N_{\mathbb{P}(U)}Q(E)$ of $\mathbb{P}(U)$ in $Q(E)$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to N_{\mathbb{P}(U)}Q(E) \to S^\vee \otimes E/U \to S^\vee \otimes S^\vee \otimes L \to 0.$$

We find that (4.1) is a polynomial equation in h, f with coefficients in $CK^*(X)$. The top degree of h in (4.1) is n and its coefficient is $c(E/U^\perp; \beta)$ which is invertible in $CK^*(X)$. Therefore, as we know an additive basis, the relations determine the ring structure. □

Acknowledgements. Part of this work developed while the first author was affiliated to POSTECH, which he would like to thank for the excellent working conditions. He would also like to gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) through the grants funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (2014-001824 and 2011-0030044). The second author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 24540032, 15K04832. The fourth author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 25400041.

References

[1] Anderson, D., and Fulton, W. Chern class formulas for classical-type degeneracy loci. `arXiv:1504.03615`.

[2] Buch, A. S., and Samuel, M. J. K-theory of minuscule varieties. `arXiv:1306.5419`.

[3] Dai, S., and Levine, M. Connective algebraic K-theory. *J. K-Theory 13*, 1 (2014), 9–56.

[4] Giambelli. Risoluzione del problema degli spazi secanti. *Mem. R. Accad. Sci. Torino 52*, 2 (1902), 171–211.

[5] Hudson, T. A Thom-Porteous formula for connective K-theory using algebraic cobordism. *J. K-Theory 14*, 2 (2014), 343–369.

[6] Hudson, T., Ikeda, T., Matsumura, T., and Naruse, H. Determinantal and Pfaffian formulas of K-theoretic schubert calculus. 2015, arXiv:1504.02828v2.

[7] Ikeda, T., and Naruse, H. K-theoretic analogues of factorial Schur P- and Q-functions. *Adv. Math. 226*, 1 (2011), 840–886.

[8] Kazarian, M. On lagrange and symmetric degeneracy loci. *Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences Preprint Series* (2000).

[9] Levine, M., and Morel, F. *Algebraic cobordism*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[10] Yong, A., and Pechenik, O. Equivariant K-theory of Grassmannians II: The Knutson-Vakil conjecture. arXiv:1508.00446.

Thomas Hudson, Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34141, Republic of Korea (South)

email address: hudson.t@kaist.ac.kr

Takeshi Ikeda, Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

email address: ike@xmath.ous.ac.jp

Tomoo Matsumura, Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

email address: matsumur@xmath.ous.ac.jp

Hiroshi Naruse, Graduate School of Education, University of Yamanashi, Yamanashi 400-8510, Japan

email address: hnaruse@yamanashi.ac.jp